	Pass 🗸	Fail 🗙
Analysis of context	Shows understanding of EDI in research practice considerations / systemic barriers in the context of the research team. Provides a clear explanation of the team's specific challenges/opportunities related to EDI in research practice. Cites concrete and specific examples in the analysis. Demonstrates a strong commitment to EDI in research practice overall.	Fails to demonstrate an understanding of EDI in research practice considerations / systemic barriers in the context of the research team. Analysis of context is generic and/or does not point to one or more systemic barriers. Evidence of commitment to EDI in research practice overall is lacking.
Concrete practice for each area	Lists at least one concrete practice that targets the specific context listed for each area.	Fails to list a concrete practice for one or more of the areas, or the concrete practices listed are not related to the context that was described. Challenges are not discussw9@1T3(r)
	Provides a description of how the concrete practice has been or will be realistically implemented. Considers implementation challenges.	Provides no or an unclear description of how the concrete practice will be implemented. The implementation plan is unrealistic.
Impact	Explains how the concrete practice will impact EDI and how it will be measured.	Gives no indication of how the impact will be measured. Fails to explain the anticipated impact of the concrete practice on EDI or how it will be measured.

The <u>Best Practices in Equity, Diversity and Inclusion in Research</u> has specific guidance regarding EDI-RP considerations specific for the NFRF Exploration competition.

The NFRF program's

the research may benefit from GBA+/SGBA, provide a rationale for this. These fields must be completed before your application can be submitted.

Resources: Impacts of integrating sex and gender in research, Status of Women Canada online GBA+ module, Tri-Agency bias in peer review module.