



### Informational Reviews of the Literature

Informational reviews are useful in providing an overview of the literature regarding CLG in bilingual aphasia. They allow for the opportunity to see where evidence converges and diverges. A limitation of reviews is that the reader is reliant on the author's expertise in interpreting the studies that are covered. The reader is thereby subjected to the author's personal biases and potential misinterpretation of the data.

**Faroqi-Shah et al. (2010)** conducted a review of 13 articles (12 case studies, 1 single-subject study) to consolidate the evidence regarding CLG in bilingual PWA (n=43). Studies were written in English and published between 1980 to 2009, presented data directly addressing the research question of CLG, and looked at outcomes in bilingual adults with aphasia following a language intervention. A critical appraisal was completed for each paper. This revealed that although most studies included an adequate description of their procedures, they lacked practices such as

completed 20 sessions. There was high inter-



