## Critical Review: Does text messaging lead to better literacy outc es in adolescents?

Kennedy, K.

M.Cl.Sc (SLP) Candidate

University of Western Ontario: School of Communication Sciences and Disorders

This critical review examines messaging and literacy s that met inclusion mixed randomiz single group relationshi implicat recom

dence on the relationship between text atabase search yielded six articles d clinical trial designs, one neasure design, and two vidence of a positive ents. The clinical ng with future

Text me that no becom adoles phon techt a ch send to 1 Ove be cha as that the occu been havin media effect the med (Thurlow as 5 year phones, wit owning a mo al., 2011). The that are reported increasing concern a children's literacy skin young age.

Theren texting and In mmon form of communication have adapted to, but it is also common among children and be & Oakhill, 2011). When mobile initially used for text messaging and the vas not as advanced as it is today, there was limit for the size of text message you could resulted in people heavily abbreviating words nin the 160 character limit (Thurlow, 2003). this became a linguistic trend that continues to in text messaging today regardless of no limit. Theserately state and the second seco ns, are often phonological forms of spelling on-conventional (Plester et al., 2009). Due to pt of texting, along with the use of textisms within the younger generations, there have ns raised regarding the impact it may be eracy skills (Coe & Oakhill, 2011). The contributor to proposing this negative speculation and concern raised in upported by empirical evidence dicate that children as young owners of mobile

Contrary to media reports (Thurlow, 2000), text messaging is in fact increasing children's exposure to print which may prove to be a benefit to literacy development (Coe & Oakhill, 2011). Text messaging is also increasing phonological awareness skills through the use of abbreviations, which has a positive impact on reading and spelling ability (Plester et al., 2009).

itive relationship between

Although recent rerounding this topic is limited, it is important r the research tha(ch)-7()] TJETQq0.000

-US))-447(r)-3(esear)-5(ch)-7()] TJET

conventional English and one in textese) into a mobile phone

completed at the beginning of a school year and then again at the end of the academic year. To investigate texting behav

vocabulary, verbal working memory capacity, conve

not have adverse effects on literacy skill development in adolescents. They can inform them that evidence suggests that any relationships that have been found are positive. Further research is still warranted to display compelling evidence for text messaging leading to better literacy outcomes in adolescents.

It is important to present this information to parents or teachers in a way that uses gentle language and is not suggestive of increased screen time or increased cell phone use. The information can be presented in a way that highlights no detrimental effects are seen between texting and literacy development, however, it is important that children and adolescents are continuing to practice and develop their literacy skills through physical book reading and writing.

## Conclusion

Overall, the literature reviewed suggests a positive relationship between textism use in text messaging and literacy skills however, it more confidently highlights that there are no adverse effects of texting on literacy skills. Future research can build on the existing literature by completing more longitudinal studies, along with more randomized control trials where there is alite