# The Complete Root Classification of a Parametric Polynomial on an Interval

Songxin Liang Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada Sliang22@uwo.ca David J. Jeffrey Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada djeffrey@uwo.ca Marc Moreno Maza Department of Computer Science, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada moreno@csd.uwo.ca

## ABSTRACT

Given a real parametric polynomial p(x) and an interval (a; b) ½ R, the Complete Root Classi<sup>-</sup>cation (CRC) of p(x) on (a; b) is a collection of all possible cases of its root classi<sup>-</sup>cation on (a; b), together with the conditions its coe±cients must satisfy for each case. In this paper, a new algorithm is proposed for the automatic computation of the complete root classi<sup>-</sup>cation of a parametric polynomial on an interval. As a direct application, the new algorithm is applied to some real quanti<sup>-</sup>er elimination problems.</sup>

## **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

I.1.2 [Symbolic and Algebraic Manipulation]: Algorithms | Algebraic algorithms

## **General Terms**

Algorithms

## Keywords

Complete root classi<sup>-</sup>cation, real root, parametric polynomial, interval, real quanti<sup>-</sup>er elimination

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The counting and classifying of the roots of a polynomial have been the subject of many investigations. This paper concerns the complete root classi<sup>-</sup>cation of a parametric polynomial on an interval.

**RC** and **CRC**. Let p(x) be a real polynomial with constant coe±cients. The root classi<sup>-</sup>cation (RC) of p(x) on R is denoted by

$$[L_1; L_2] = [[n_1; n_2; \ldots]; [m_1; j \ m_1; m_2; j \ m_2; \ldots]];$$

where  $n_k$  are the multiplicities of the distinct real roots of p(x) on R, and  $m_k$  are the multiplicities of the distinct complex conjugate pairs of p(x), and  $L_1 = [n_1; n_2; :::]$  is called

Copyright 2008 ACM 978-1-59593-904-3/08/07 ...\$5.00.

the real RC of p(x) on R. Let  $a; b \ge R$  [ $f_i = 1; + 1 g$ . The RC of p(x) on (a; b) is denoted by a list  $L = [n_1; n_2; \ldots]$ , where  $n_1; n_2; \ldots$  are the multiplicities of the distinct real roots of p(x) on (a; b). For a real polynomial p(x) with parametric coe±cients, the *complete root classi* cation (CRC) of p(x) on (a; b) is a collection of all possible cases of its RC on (a; b), together with the conditions its coe±cients must satisfy for each case.

The history of CRC is short. The CRC of a real parametric quartic polynomial on R was found by Arnon in 1988 [2]; the <code>-</code>rst method for establishing the CRC of a real parametric polynomial of any degree on R was given by Yang, Hou and Zeng in 1996 [10]. They illustrated their method by computing the CRC of a reduced sextic polynomial. The <code>-</code>rst automatic generation of CRCs was described and implemented by Liang and Zhang [7], with some improvements added in [5]. Further improvements to the algorithm were made in [6] by replacing the 'revised sign lists' (De<sup>-</sup>nition 4 below) with the direct use of 'sign lists'. As well as o<sup>®</sup>ering greater e±ciency, the new algorithm o<sup>®</sup>ers a better <sup>-</sup>Iter for eliminating non-realizable conditions.

All works above are on R, and applications often need CRC on an interval. For example, in robust control [1] and problems concerning program termination [12], we have to determine the conditions on the parametric coe±cients of p(x) such that 8x > 0; p(x) > 0, or the conditions such that 8x 2 (a;b);  $p(x) \neq 0$ . Therefore, it is meaningful to develop an algorithm for computing the CRC of a parametric polynomial on an interval.

However, in order to develop such an algorithm, we have to face two challenging problems. The -rst problem is the determination of the conditions for a parametric polynomial having a given number of real roots on an interval. One naturally thinks of the well-known Sturm sequence. The Sturm sequence of a polynomial with known, constant coe±cients is a good tool for computing the number of real roots on an interval, but it is inconvenient and ine±cient when the given polynomial has parametric coe±cients. A better solution uses the fact that we know how to determine the conditions for a polynomial having a given number of real roots on R [6], and converts the problem of the determination of conditions on an interval into a problem on R. This is done in Section 3, where Theorem 4 is given. Let  $p \ 2 \ R[x]$  with  $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n_i \ 1} x^{n_i \ 1} + \ell \ell \ell \ell + a_0$  and  $a_n \in 0$ . Let  $a_i b \in 2 \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p(a) \in 0$  and  $p(b) \in 0$ . Let  $a_{1}(x) = (1_{i})$ 

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

ISSAC'08, July 20-23, 2008, Hagenberg, Austria.

 $(1 + x^2)^n p\left(\frac{b+ax^2}{1+x^2}\right)$ . Then  $L = [r_1; r_2; \ldots; r_k]$  is the RC of p(x) on (a;b), if and only if  $L_2 = [r_1; r_1; r_2; r_2; \ldots; r_k; r_k]$  is the real RC of a  $_2(x)$  on R. Therefore, the conditions for p(x) having L as its RC on an interval can be obtained by computing the conditions for a  $_2(x)$  having  $L_2$  as its real RC on R.

The second problem is the computation of the ¢-sequence of a 2 (De<sup>-</sup>nition 5). We try to determine the conditions for <sup>a</sup> <sub>2</sub> having  $L_2$  as its real RC on R. The set of all possible sign lists of <sup>a</sup><sub>2</sub> can be determined by Theorem 2 and 3. Now, in order to make the multiplicities of the 2k distinct real roots of a 2 be  $r_1$ ;  $r_1$ ;  $r_2$ ;  $r_2$ ;  $\ldots$ ;  $r_k$ ;  $r_k$  respectively, we also have to determine the possible sign lists of the polynomials in the  $rac{}_{i}$  sequence of  $a_{2}$ . According to Proposition 1,  $rac{}^{1}(a_{2})$ can be determined by the maximal index `of non-vanishing members in the sign list of a 2 which actually is the total number of distinct (real and complex) roots of  $a_2$ . Since  $L_2$ does not contain information about the number of distinct complex-conjugate roots of a 2, the maximal index ` is not uniquely determined. Therefore, unlike the case of RC on R [6], there may be more than one  $C^{1}(a_{2})$  for the real RC  $L_2$ , and consequently the conditions for  $a_2(x)$  having  $L_2$  as its real RC on R would be more complicated. So the question is how to determine these  $C^{1}(a_{2})$  and corresponding conditions.

In this paper, a new algorithm for the automatic computation of the CRC of a parametric polynomial on an interval is proposed. The new algorithm has been implemented in Maple. As an immediate application, the new algorithm has been applied to some real quanti<sup>-</sup>er elimination problems. However, it should be emphasized that the CRC of a parametric polynomial on an interval contains more information than is needed for these problems, and consequently it has more potential applications than the examples given here.

#### 2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we review some definitions and theorems which mainly come from [10] and [6]. They are necessary for the new algorithm. Let p(x) 2 R[x] with  $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{ni-1} x^{ni-1} + \ell \ell \ell + a_0$  and  $a_n \in 0$ .

Definition 1. The 2n £ 2n matrix M

(an	a <sub>ni</sub> 1	a <sub>ni</sub> 2	:::	$a_0$		)
0	na <sub>n</sub>	(n j 1)a <sub>nj 1</sub>	:::	$a_1$		
	an	a <sub>ni 1</sub>	:::	$a_1$	$a_0$	
	0	na <sub>n</sub>	:::	2 <i>a</i> 2	$a_1$	
			:::	:::		
			:::	:::		
			an	а <sub>пі</sub> 1	:::	$a_0$
/			0	na <sub>n</sub>	:::	a1)

is called the discrimination matrix of p.

Definition 2. For  $1 \cdot k \cdot 2n$ , let  $M_k$  be the kth principal minor of M, and let  $D_k = M_{2k}$ . The n-tuple  $D = [D_1; D_2; \ldots; D_n]$  is called the discriminant sequence of p.

Definition 3. If sgn x is the signum function, sgn 0 = 0, then the list  $[s_1; s_2; \ldots; s_n] = [\text{sgn } D_1; \text{sgn } D_2; \ldots; \text{sgn } D_n]$  is called the sign list of p.

Definition 4. The revised sign list  $[e_1; e_2; ...; e_n]$  of p(x) is constructed from the sign list  $s = [s_1; s_2; ...; s_n]$  of p as

follows. If  $[s_i; s_{i+1}; \dots; s_{i+j}]$  is a section of s, where  $s_i \notin 0$ ,  $s_{i+1} = s_{i+2} = \dots = s_{i+j_i \ 1} = 0$  and  $s_{i+j} \notin 0$ , then we replace the subsection  $[s_{i+1}; \dots; s_{i+j_i \ 1}]$  by

$$[j S_I; j S_I; S_I; S_I; j S_I; j S_I; S_I; S_I; S_I; \vdots ::];$$

*i.e.*, let  $e_{i+r} = (j \ 1)^{b(r+1)=2c}s_i$ , for  $r = 1;2; \ldots; j \ j \ 1$ , and keep other elements unchanged, i.e., let  $e_k = s_k$ . The revised sign list of p (resp. s) is denoted by rsl(p) (resp. rsl(s)).

Yang, Hou and Zeng used the following theorem to calculate the number of distinct complex-conjugate roots and real roots.

Theorem 1. Suppose a polynomial  $p \ge R[x]$  has revised sign list rsl(p). If the number of non-vanishing members of rsl(p) is s, and the number of sign changes in rsl(p) is v, then p(x) has v pairs of distinct complex-conjugate roots and  $s_j \ge v$  distinct real roots.

In order to calculate the multiplicities of roots, Yang, Hou and Zeng used the following de<sup>-</sup>nitions and propositions.

Definition 5. Let  $\mathfrak{C}(p)$  denote  $gcd(p(x); p^{0}(x))$ , and let  $\mathfrak{C}^{0}(p) = p(x), \mathfrak{C}^{j}(p) = \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C}^{j,i-1}(p)), j = 1;2;:::$ . Then  $\mathfrak{C}^{0}(p); \mathfrak{C}^{1}(p); \mathfrak{C}^{2}(p);:::$  is called the  $\mathfrak{C}$ -sequence of p.

Proposition 1. If rsl(p) contains k zeros, equivalently,  $D_n = ::: = D_{n_i \ k+1} = 0$  but  $D_{n_i \ k} \in 0$ , then gcd( $p; p^{\theta}$ ) =  $P_k(p; p^{\theta})$ , where  $P_k(p; p^{\theta})$  is the kth subresultant of p(x) and  $p^{\theta}(x)$ .

The relationship between the RC of  $\Phi^{j}(p)$  and the RC of its `repeated part'  $\Phi^{j+1}(p)$  is given by the following propositions.

Proposition 2. If  $\Phi^{j}(p)$  has k distinct roots with respective multiplicities  $n_{1}; n_{2}; \ldots; n_{k}$ , then  $\Phi^{j+1}(p)$  has at most k distinct roots with respective multiplicities  $n_{1,j} = 1; n_{2,j} = 1; \ldots; n_{k,j} = 1$ .

Proposition 3. If  $\Phi^{j}(p)$  has k distinct roots with respective multiplicities  $n_{1}; n_{2}; \ldots; n_{k}$ , and  $\Phi^{j_{j-1}}(p)$  has m distinct roots, then  $m \downarrow k$ , and the multiplicities of these m distinct roots are  $n_{1} + 1; n_{2} + 1; \ldots; n_{k} + 1; 1; \ldots; 1$  respectively.

However, the old algorithms [5] and the methods above have to work with revised sign list which is a major source of ine±ciency, since we have to transfer the output conditions in terms of revised sign lists to conditions in terms of sign lists. The transferring process is usually very di±cult and full of opportunities for including non-realizable conditions. This consideration motivated the authors to propose a new algorithm for overcoming these disadvantages [6]. The new algorithm o®ers improved e±ciency and a new test for nonrealizable conditions. The improvement lies in the direct use of sign lists, rather than revised sign lists.

The algorithm uses the following de<sup>-</sup>nitions and theorems, where \PmV" means \generalized Permanences minus Variations" [3].

Definition 6. Let  $s = [s_n; \ldots; s_0]$  be a -nite list of elements in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $s_n \notin 0$ . Let m < n such that  $s_{n_i 1} = \ell \ell \ell = s_{m+1} = 0$ , and  $s_m \notin 0$ , and  $s^{\theta} = [s_m; \ldots; s_0]$ .

If there is no such m, then  $s^{0}$  is the empty list. We de  $\bar{}$  ne inductively

$$\mathsf{PmV}(s) = \begin{cases} 0 ; & s^0 = ;; \\ \mathsf{PmV}(s^0) + {}^2n_i m \operatorname{sgn}(s_n s_m) ; & n_i m \operatorname{odd}; \\ \mathsf{PmV}(s^0) ; & n_i m \operatorname{even}, \end{cases}$$

where  ${}^{2}n_{j}m = (j \ 1)^{(n_{j} \ m)(n_{j} \ m_{j} \ 1)=2}$ 

The following theorem gives the number of distinct roots in terms of sign lists.

Theorem 2. Let  $D = [D_1; \ldots; D_n]$  be the discriminant sequence of a real polynomial p(x) of degree n, and ` be the maximal index such that  $D \cdot \mathbf{6} \ 0$ . If PmV(D) = r, then p(x) has r + 1 distinct real roots and  $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{j} r_j 1)$  pairs of distinct complex conjugate roots.

The next theorem can be used to detect the non-realizable sign lists in output conditions.

Theorem 3. Let  $S = [s_1, ..., s_n]$  and  $R = [r_1, ..., r_n]$ be the sign list and the revised sign list of p(x) respectively. Then PmV(S) = PmV(R).

At last, we review a result given by Yang and Xia [9][11] for computing the number of real roots on intervals, which gives us some clue for solving the <sup>-</sup>rst problem mentioned in Section 1.

Let  $p \ 2 \ R[x]$  with  $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n_i \ 1} x^{n_i \ 1} + \ell \ell \ell + a_0$ and  $a_n \ 6 \ 0$ . Let  $a; b \ 2 \ R$  such that  $p(a) \ 6 \ 0$  and  $p(b) \ 6 \ 0$ . Let  $a \ _1(x) = (1_i \ x)^n p\left(\frac{b_i \ ax}{1_i \ x}\right)$  and  $a \ _2(x) = a \ _1(i \ x^2) = (1 + x^2)^n p\left(\frac{b + ax^2}{1 + x^2}\right)$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $coe^{\otimes}(a \ _1; x; n) = (i \ 1)^n p(a) \ 6 \ 0$ ,  $coe^{\otimes}(a \ _2; x; 2n) = p(a) \ 6 \ 0$  and  $a \ _1(0) = coe^{\otimes}(a \ _1; x; 0) = coe^{\otimes}(a \ _2; x; 0) = p(b) \ 6 \ 0$ . Furthermore

Proposition 4. #fx 2(a; b)jp(x) = 0g =# $fx < 0j^{a}_{1}(x) = 0g = \frac{1}{2}#fx 2 Rj^{a}_{2}(x) = 0g.$ 

#### 3. BASIS OF THE ALGORITHM

In this section, we establish the basis for the new algorithm. The main idea is that we transfer the computation of CRC for a parametric polynomial on an interval to the computation of CRC for a parametric polynomial on R.

Theorem 4. Let p(x);  ${}^{a}_{1}(x)$ ;  ${}^{a}_{2}(x)$  be de  $\overline{}$  ned as in Section 2. Then,  $[r_1; r_2; \ldots; r_k]$  is the RC of p(x) on (a; b), if and only if  $[r_1; r_1; r_2; r_2; \ldots; r_k; r_k]$  is the real RC of  ${}^{a}_{2}(x)$  on R.

Proof. Since  $[r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k]$  is the RC of p(x) on (a; b), we can decompose p(x) in C as

$$p(x) = a_n \prod_{i=1}^k$$

#### PolySL.

Input: <sup>a</sup>  $_{2}$  and  $L_{2}$ . Output: The set of all possible sign lists of <sup>a</sup>  $_{2}$ . Procedure:

- <sup>2</sup> Compute the discriminant sequence  $D = [D_1; :::; D_{2n}]$  of <sup>a</sup><sub>2</sub>.
- <sup>2</sup> Compute the set  $S_0$  of all possible sign lists from D: for  $1 \cdot k \cdot 2n$ ,  $2dD_k 421 \approx (4647D_k 3043 \approx 0.4) (471) = 148 \approx 25.94 (4T5.63-0.99Td4(4Tj/T1_38.913.310Td(k)Tj[.96Tf6457T)Tj457TTd(n)Tj/D_k ! <math>f_i 1:0:1g$ . For example, if D = [1; i 2; a], then  $S_0 = f[1; i 1; i]:[1; i 1:0]:[1; i 1:1]g$ .
- <sup>2</sup> Compute  $S = fs 2 S_0 j PmV(s) = PmV(rsl(s)) = 2k_i$ 1g b3/T1\_38.96Tf8.70Td(D)Ln

#### IntCRC

Input: A real parametric polynomial p(x), and  $a; b \ge \mathbb{R}$  [  $f_i = 1; + 1 g$ . Output: The CRC of p(x) on (a; b). Procedure:

 $L \tilde{A}$  AIIRC(deg(p)) compute <sup>a</sup> <sub>2</sub> for L in L do  $C \tilde{A}$  IntCond(<sup>a</sup> <sub>2</sub>; DRC(L)) if  $C \in$  NULL then return L and C

**Optimization of algorithm.** Finally we discuss the optimization of the algorithm. In comparison with the case on R, the output conditions of the CRC of a parametric polynomial on an interval is usually large, especially when the parametric polynomial has a general form. So there remains the work of condensing the output conditions. Suppose  $[D_1; \ldots; D_{2n}]$  is the discriminant sequence of <sup>a</sup><sub>2</sub>, and *S* is the set of all possible sign lists of <sup>a</sup><sub>2</sub> for <sup>a</sup><sub>2</sub> having  $L_2 = [$ 

All possible sign lists of  $P_6$  would be [1/1/1; i/0/0], [1/0/0; i/1/0; 0], [1/i/1; i/i/1], [1/i/1, i/1, i/1], [1/i/1; i/0, 0], [1/i/1], [1

Now these sign lists of  $P_6$  can be divided into two groups:  $G_4 = f[1/1/1/j \ 1/0/0]/[1/0/0/j \ 1/0/0]/[1/j \ 1/j \ 1/j \ 1/0/0]g$ and  $G_6 = f[1/1/1/j \ 1/1/1]/[1/j \ 1/0/0/1]/[1/j \ 1/j \ 1/j \ 1/j \ 1/j \ 1/1]/[1/1/1],$  $[1/j \ 1/j \ 1/0/0/1]/[1/j \ 1/j \ 1/j \ 1/j \ 1/2]/[1/0/0/j \ 1/2]/[1/2]g$ .

If the sign list of  $P_6$  belongs to  $G_4$ , then the number of distinct roots of  $P_6$  is 4. So the 'repeated part'  $C^1(P_6) = P_{62}$  and the RC of  $P_{62}$  is MinusOne([1/1]) = []. For  $P_{62}$  and [], IntCond is called again, obtaining that the condition for  $P_{62}$  having [] as its real RC on R is its sign list being [1/j 1].

At this point, the termination condition 2 is satis<sup>-</sup>ed, so IntCond terminates. If the sign list of  $P_6$  belongs to  $G_6$ , then the termination condition 3 is satis<sup>-</sup>ed, and IntCond terminates.

In summary,  $p_3$  has [1] as its RC on (0;2), if and only if the sign list of  $P_6$  belongs to  $G_4$  and the sign list of  $P_{62}$  is [1; j 1], or the sign list of  $P_6$  belongs to  $G_6$ . The cases [];[2] and [1; 1] can be explained similarly.

For the cases [3]; [1; 2]; [1; 1; 1], since the output of IntCond is the empty sequence NULL, they are not realizable. Based on the CRC of  $p_3$ , we can answer some questions concerning real quanti<sup>-</sup>er elimination. The discriminant sequence of  $P_6$ is  $[1; D_2; D_3; D_4; D_5; D_6]$ , where

$$\begin{array}{l} D_2 = i \; 3b^2 \; i \; 2ab; \\ D_3 = i \; a^2b(2a+3b); \\ D_4 = a^2b(a^2b+9b^2+2a^3+6ab); \\ D_5 = i \; b(a^2b+2a^3+6ab+9b^2)(4a^3+27b^2); \\ D_6 = i \; (8+2a+b)b(4a^3+27b^2)^2; \end{array}$$

The necessary and su±cient condition for  $8x \ 2 \ (0,2)[p_3 \ \epsilon)$ 0] is that case (1) holds, and case (1) holds i<sup>®</sup> the sign list of  $P_6$  be one of the following:  $[1/j \ 1/0/0] < >/j \ 1]$ ,  $[1/j \ 1/1 \ 1/1/0) \ 0] \ [1/j \ 1/0 + 0/j \ 1] \ [1/j \ 1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1]$ ,  $[1/j \ 1/i \ 1/j \ 1] \ [1/0) \ 0/j \ 1/1/j \ 1] \ [1/1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1]$ ,  $[1/j \ 1/i \ 1/j \ 1] \ [1/0) \ 0/j \ 1/1/j \ 1] \ [1/1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1]$ ,  $[1/j \ 1/i \ 1/j \ 1] \ [1/0) \ 0/j \ 1/1/j \ 1] \ [1/1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1/1 \ 1]$ , Therefore, the necessary and su±cient condition for  $8x \ 2$   $(0/2)[p_3 \ \epsilon) \ 0]$  is  $[D_2 < 0 \ ^D_3 = 0 \ ^D_4 = 0 \ ^D_5 \ \epsilon \ 0 \ ^D_6 < 0] \ [D_2 <$   $0 \ ^D_3 < 0 \ ^D_4 > 0 \ ^D_5 = 0 \ ^D_6 < 0] \ [D_2 < 0 \ ^D_3 <$   $0 \ ^D_5 < 0 \ ^D_6 < 0] \ [D_2 < 0 \ ^D_3 < 0 \ ^D_6 <$   $0 \ ^D_5 < 0 \ ^D_6 < 0] \ [D_2 > 0 \ ^D_6 < 0] \ [D_2 >$  $0 \ ^D_3 > 0 \ ^D_4 < 0 \ ^D_5 = 0 \ ^D_6 < 0] \ [D_2 >$ 

The discriminant sequence of  $P_{10}$  is  $[1/0/0/0/0/D_6/D_7/D_8/D_9/D_10]$ , where

$$D_{6} = j a^{5}; \quad D_{7} = j a^{3}(27a^{4} + 300abc j 160b^{3}); D_{8} = (300bac j 160b^{3} + 27a^{4})(720acb^{2} j 256b^{4} + 27a^{4}b j 225a^{2}c^{2});$$

$$D_{9} = i (720acb^{2} i 256b^{4} + 27a^{4}b i 225a^{2}c^{2})(i 1600b^{3}ca + 256b^{5} i 27a^{4}b^{2} + 2250ba^{2}c^{2} + 3125c^{4} + 108a^{5}c);$$
  

$$D_{10} = i c(i 1600b^{3}ca + 256b^{5} i 27a^{4}b^{2} + 2250ba^{2}c^{2})$$

$$D_{10} = i c(i 1600b^{3}ca + 256b^{5} i 27a^{4}b^{2} + 2250b^{2} + 3125c^{4} + 108a^{5}c)^{2}$$

Again, we assume that the initial condition  $c \neq 0$  holds. Then  $(8x > 0)[p_5 = x^5 + ax^2 + bx + c > 0]$  i<sup>®</sup> case (1) holds. That is  $[D_6 < 0^{\Lambda}D_2]$ 

That is  $[D_6 < 0^{\Lambda}D_7]_{-174.723Tf5.233.81Td}$  (5) Tjv + n..040Td1a holds.