

A note on Laplace's equation inside a cylinder

SILVANA ILIE & DAVID J. JEFFREY

Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Western Ontario,
London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5B7

Abstract

Two difficulties connected with the solution of Laplace's equation around an object inside an infinite circular cylinder are resolved. One difficulty is the non-convergence of Fourier transforms used, in earlier publications, to obtain the general solution, and the second difficulty concerns the existence of apparently different expressions for the solution. By using a Green's function problem as an easily analyzed model problem, we show that, in general, Fourier transforms along the cylinder axis exist only in the sense of generalized functions, but when interpreted as such, they lead to correct solutions. We demonstrate the equivalence of the corrected solution to a different general solution, also previously published, but we point out that the two solutions have different numerical properties.

Keywords

Laplace equation; Fourier Transforms; Green's function; Sphere in Cylinder; Generalized functions;

1 Introduction

This paper addresses the methods used to study the electric field around a cavity in a wire, or the fluid motion around a drop inside a pipe, or similar problems in which an object is placed inside an infinite cylinder. Several papers have presented solutions for the field around a spherical cavity or drop in a cylinder, the most recent paper being Linton [1] and the earliest being Knight [2]. These works overlooked the fact that their integral transforms do not converge in all cases. This non-convergence can be demonstrated without solving the full problem of a sphere inside a cylinder, because the convergence problem is already present in the simplest problem that can be posed: the Green's function for Laplace's equation in a cylinder with Neumann boundary conditions, which in physical terms means the electric field created by a point charge, or the ideal flow from a point source. This paper uses the derivation of the Green's function as a model problem, which allows us to pinpoint the difficulty and its resolution, without the distractions of the more complicated full problem (of a finite-sized body in a cylinder).

Having shown the existence of a convergence problem in the Knight—Linton approach, we give a remedy. We must bear in mind, when considering possible remedies, that the Green’s function problem used here is a model problem, and any method proposed must generalize back to the problems originally considered by Knight and Linton. We show that the Knight—Linton approach can be repaired using generalized functions, and then their method remains viable. We also point out an alternative method, outlined by Morse & Feshbach [3] for one simple set of problems. We show that the Morse—Feshbach method gives a solution equivalent to the Fourier transform method, but that the numerical properties of the two solutions are different. Morse—Feshbach has better properties for large z and Knight—Linton for small z . It should be realized, however, that the Morse—Feshbach method has not been tried on the spherical cavity problem, but only on the model problem given here.

The problem for the Green’s function is as follows. We scale cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) so that the boundary conditions are imposed on $r = 1$. The Green’s function satisfies

$$\nabla^2 G = -4 \delta(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1)$$

and the Neumann boundary condition $G/r = 0$ on $r = 1$. This is our model problem, and we wish to solve it in a way that illuminates the Knight—Linton approach. Jumping ahead to the solution, given below in equation (11), we shall see that asymptotically $G \sim -2|z|$ for large z owing to the Neumann boundary condition. In section 2 we consider the consequences of this.

2 Fourier transform method

Knight [2] and others effectively take a Fourier transform of (1) with respect to z . Since we have already stated that $G \sim -2|z| + o(1)$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$, a Fourier transform does not exist in the ordinary sense. In looking for a response to this difficulty, we must not be misled by the simplicity of the problem (1). It is tempting to consider deriving equations for $G + 2|z|$, a quantity whose transform would exist. However, for the more difficult problems considered by Knight [2] and Linton [1], the asymptotic behaviour of the solution is one of the main goals of the calculation. Therefore, although reformulating the problem in terms of convergent integrals would be a possibility in this model problem, it is a solution that does not generalize to harder problems. We can, however, continue to use Knight’s method, provided we are later willing to interpret the integrals as generalized functions.

It is convenient to separate the singularity in G 2351F8 9.963 Tf 10.6 0 Td[(=)]TJ/F14 9.9er

of this symmetry to reformulate the problem for two reasons. First, the papers we are commenting on did not do it, and second, we wish to consider a method that would apply to non-symmetric situations. Also the difficulties we address are present even if one restricts the problem to $z \geq 0$.

If \bar{u} is the Fourier transform of u with respect to z , it satisfies a modified Bessel equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial r} - t^2 \bar{u} = 0,$$

whose independent solutions are $I_0(tr)$ and $K_0(tr)$. Since K_0 is singular at $r = 0$, it is rejected, and the solution, symmetric with respect to z is

$$u(r, z) = \int_0^\infty g(t) I_0(rt) \cos zt \, dt, \quad (5)$$

with $g(t)$ to be determined from the boundary condition. By differentiating (see [4])

$$\int_0^\infty K_0(rt) \cos zt \, dt = \frac{1}{2} (r^2 + z^2)^{-1/2}, \quad (6)$$

we deduce that (4) is apparently satisfied by setting $g(t) = (2/\pi) K_1(t)/I_1(t)$. We combine (6) and (2) to write the Green's function finally as

$$G(r, z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{K_1(t)}{I_1(t)} I_0(rt) \cos zt \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{K_1(t)}{I_1(t)} (r^2 + z^2 + t^2)^{-1/2} \, dt$$

3 Morse & Feshbach's solution

A different solution for the Green's function (2) is given in Morse & Feshbach [3]. They describe the problem as flow in $z > 0$ when fluid enters the cylinder from a small hole in a wall at $z = 0$. Using separation of variables, they obtain a solution in terms of Bessel functions $J_0(\kappa r)$, with κ defined by $J_1(\kappa) = 0$. In present notation, we normalize their problem by setting flux/unit area = 1 and obtain

$$G(r, z) = -2z + \sum_{\kappa=1} \frac{2}{\kappa J_0(\kappa)^2} e^{-\kappa z} J_0(\kappa r) . \quad (11)$$

Before showing the equivalence of (11) and (7), we comment that both solutions can be understood in terms of the technique of separation of variables. When separating Laplace's equation in cylindrical coordinates, one can take the constant of separation

5 Dipole on axis

In many applications, the dominant response of a sphere or a similar object in a cylinder will be as a dipole rather than as a pole [1]. We note here that convergence problems, similar to those observed in the case of the pole, persist in the dipole case. By dif-